The Signal Transducer NPH3 Integrates the Phototropin1 Photosensor with PIN2-Based Polar Auxin Transport in Arabidopsis Root Phototropism
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Under blue light (BL) illumination, Arabidopsis thaliana roots grow away from the light source, showing a negative phototropic response. However, the mechanism of root phototropism is still unclear. Using a noninvasive microelectrode system, we showed that the BL sensor phototropin1 (phot1), the signal transducer NONPHOTOTROPIC HYPOCOTYL3 (NPH3), and the auxin efflux transporter PIN2 were essential for BL-induced auxin flux in the root apex transition zone. We also found that PIN2-green fluorescent protein (GFP) localized to vacuole-like compartments (VLCs) in dark-grown root epidermal and cortical cells, and phot1/NPH3 mediated a BL-initiated pathway that caused PIN2 redistribution to the plasma membrane. When dark-grown roots were exposed to brefeldin A (BFA), PIN2-GFP remained in VLCs in darkness, and BL caused PIN2-GFP disappearance from VLCs and induced PIN2-GFP-FM-4644 localization within enlarged compartments. In the nph3 mutant, both dark and BL BFA treatments caused the disappearance of PIN2-GFP from VLCs. However, in the phot1 mutant, PIN2-GFP remained within VLCs under both dark and BL BFA treatments, suggesting that phot1 and NPH3 play different roles in PIN2 localization. In conclusion, BL-induced root phototropism is based on the phot1/NPH3 signaling pathway, which stimulates the shootward auxin flux by modifying the subcellular targeting of PIN2 in the root apex transition zone.

INTRODUCTION

Plant roots serve to fix the plant body in the soil and provide plants with water and nutrients. Roots need to sense and respond appropriately to a diversity of environmental signals, such as gravity, mechanical impedance, light, humidity, oxygen, and essential nutrients, as well as to allelochemicals exuded from neighboring roots, to develop their optimal form or adapt to their environment conditions (Monshausen and Gilroy, 2009). Although phototropic responses in roots were discovered and analyzed some time ago, knowledge of root phototropism is surprisingly poor when compared with that of root gravitropism (Boonsirichai et al., 2002; Correll and Kiss, 2002; Whippo and Hangarter, 2006; Holland et al., 2009; Takahashi et al., 2009).

The classic Cholodny-Went theory postulates that both gravitropism and phototropism are determined by the asymmetric distribution of the phytohormone auxin. The current discovery and analysis of auxin efflux transporters, the PIN-formed proteins (PINs), supports this hypothesis by describing a sensitive, adjustable network of five PINs (PIN 1, 2, 3, 4, and 7) that drive polar auxin transport in the root apex (Billou et al., 2005; Kleine-Vehn and Friml, 2008; Baluška et al., 2010). However, polar PIN localization is not static but undergoes constant recycling between the plasma membrane (PM) and endosomal compartments (Robert and Friml, 2009). Recent studies indicate that blue light (BL) determines the localization and distribution of PIN1 and PIN3 in hypocotyl cells (Blakeslee et al., 2004; Ding et al., 2011) and PIN2 in root cells (Kleine-Vehn et al., 2008; Laxmi et al., 2008). Laxmi et al. (2008) reported that a mutation of transcription factor HY5, which is regulated by the blue and red light receptors, phytochromes and cryptochromes, respectively (Lau and Deng, 2010), decreases PM targeting of PIN2 via endosomal trafficking and that the CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 9 complex mediates the proteasome-dependent degradation of PIN2 via its targeting into lytic vacuoles (Laxmi et al., 2008). Retromer components, such as SORTING NEXIN 1 and VACUOLAR PROTEIN SORTING 29, play a key role in resorting PIN2 into vacuoles under dark conditions and also in retrieving PIN2 from vacuoles back to the PM-targeted recycling pathway under light illumination (Kleine-Vehn et al., 2008). However, whether the phototropin photoreceptors (photons) are relevant to PIN2 cellular fate or whether...
PIN2 subcellular relocalization or degradation (or both) is involved in BL-induced root phototropism is unclear. In our previous study, we reported that the BL receptor phot1 is polarly localized on the PM of root cortical cells, showing a localization very similar to that of PIN2 (Rahman et al., 2010), and that the extent of BL-induced phot1 internalization reflects the intensity of BL administered (Wan et al., 2008). Based on these results, we postulated a relationship between BL-induced relocalization of phot1 and endosomal recycling of PIN2 protein.

The phots and the PINOID protein (PID) are close homologs belonging to the same AGC kinase family (Galván-Ampudia and Offringa, 2007). PID, a Ser/Thr kinase, and a PP2A protein phosphatase regulate the root gravitropic responses by controlling the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation status (Christensen et al., 2000; Friml et al., 2004; Michniewicz et al., 2007), as well as the polar localization of PIN2 (Sukumar et al., 2009; Rahman et al., 2010). Also, a protein named MACCHI-BOU4/ENHANCER OF PINOID/NAKED PINS IN YUC MUTANTS1 (MAB4/ENP/NPY1) is closely homologous to NONPHOTOTROPIC HYPOCOTYL3 (NPH3), an essential scaffold protein, in transducing the phot1-initiated phototropic responses (Cheng et al., 2007; Furutani et al., 2007). Cheng et al. (2007) hypothesized that PID/NPY1 and phot1/NPH3 act via similar pathways to modify polar auxin transport and subsequently to regulate plant development. This hypothesis was further supported by recent reports that NPY genes are essential for Arabidopsis thaliana root gravitropic responses (Li et al., 2011) and that the MAB4/ENP/NPY1 protein also regulates the polar localization and endocytosis of PINs (Furutani et al., 2011). These reports encouraged us to investigate the roles of phot1/NPH3 in PIN2 localization, recycling, and function.

In this study, we address the possible link between photoreception and the phototropic reaction in root tips. We measured BL-induced auxin flux in the root apices of the wild type and pin2, nph3, phot1, and phot1 phot2 mutant lines and observed the cellular fate of PIN2-green fluorescent protein (GFP) under BL illumination in these lines. From the results, we postulate a BL signaling pathway involving phot1, NPH3, and PIN2 proteins that alters polar auxin transport and controls root negative phototropism.

**Figure 1.** Analysis of Root and Hypocotyl Phototropic Curvature in pin2, nph3, phot1, and phot1 phot2 Mutant Lines.

(A) Pictures were taken from 4-d-old seedlings of wild-type (WT), pin2, nph3, phot1, and phot1 phot2 Arabidopsis grown on same vertical plates under lateral BL illumination (2 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹). White arrows indicate that the roots of pin2 mutants showing a zigzag pattern, and two of these roots are highlighted. Bar = 5 mm.

(B) Because the root tips of pin2 show an irregular bending pattern, we measured the separation angle from vertical in hypocotyls and roots. Data represent the mean ± SE from three independent experiments with 20 seedlings in each line at each time.

(C) and (D) The relative distribution rate of the separation angle from the vertical in hypocotyls (C) and roots (D) over each 10° interval was calculated and is shown diagrammatically in columns for the wild type (gray) and pin2 (red). The dashed curves show fitted Gaussian curves from the relative distribution of curvature values. Adjusted coefficients of determination (adjusted $R^2$) are recorded as wild-type hypocotyls = 0.989, pin2 hypocotyls = 0.989, wild-type root = 0.906, and pin2 root = 0.511.
RESULTS

PIN2 Is Essential for Robust Root Phototropism

The phototropic responses of the wild type (ecotype Columbia [Col]) and a null mutant line of pin2, named eir1-4 (Luschnig et al., 1998), were examined under unilateral weak BL illumination (2 \text{μmol·m}^{-2}·\text{s}^{-1}) (Figure 1A). Because pin2 exhibited altered root gravitropism, the dark-grown pin2 seedlings showed a random pattern of root growth direction (see Supplemental Figure 1 online). Therefore, we illuminated the seedlings with asymmetric BL from the onset of germination. Hypocotyls of wild-type seedlings grew toward the source of the BL illumination, and roots grew away from the light source (Figure 1A). Hypocotyls of pin2 seedlings grew in a similar pattern as the wild-type hypocotyls, whereas roots of pin2 seedlings grew in different ways: Most of the roots showed a zigzag pattern with unilateral BL illumination (highlighted in Figure 1A), and some root tip curvatures showed a random bending direction, even bending toward the BL source (arrows in Figure 1A).

Figure 2. Auxin Flux Profiles in the Root Apex Region.

(A) and (B) The auxin flux profiles in the intact root apex (0 to 0.8 mm) of 4-d-old dark-grown Arabidopsis seedlings were measured with an auxin-specific self-referencing microelectrode in darkness (A) and under BL illumination (B). The positive flux values represent a net auxin influx. Data are means ± SE (n = 12). Mean values are listed in Supplemental Table 1 online. WT, wild type.

(C) and (D) The expression pattern of PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP (C) and PHOT1pro:PHOT1-GFP (D) and in root tips of 4-d-old dark-grown Arabidopsis seedlings, in which the 100- to 300-μm region is highlighted by white squares. Bars = 500 μm.

(E) Images from the GFP channel and differential interference contrast channel were combined to show the expression pattern of DR5pro:GFP in root tips of dark-grown Arabidopsis seedlings (left). A pseudocolor image visualizes the signal intensities of the GFP signal (right). Color code bar indicates the relative intensities. Bar = 200 μm.

(F) The expression pattern of DR5pro:GFP in root tips of dark-grown Arabidopsis seedlings after 1 h of 2 μmol·m^{-2}·s^{-1} unilateral BL illumination. Yellow arrowheads indicate increased expression of GFP in the epidermal and vascular cells. Eighty percent (n = 10) of the seedlings showed an asymmetric expression pattern of GFP signals after BL illumination. Color code bar indicates relative intensities. Bar = 200 μm.
Significance of differences between lines in dark condition

Wild type (Col-0): dark versus BL 58.9 **
Wild type (Ws): dark versus BL 53.2 **
*pin2*: dark versus BL 22.3 *
*nph3*: dark versus BL 18.8 ns
*phot1*: dark versus BL 19.0 ns
*phot1phot2*: dark versus BL 3.8 ns

Significance of differences between lines in BL illumination

BL: wild type (Col-0) versus wild type (Ws) –14.7 ns
BL: wild type (Col-0) versus *pin2* –60.7 **
BL: wild type (Ws) versus *nph3* –72.4 **
BL: wild type (Col-0) versus *phot1* –41.4 *
BL: wild type (Col0) versus *phot1phot2* –75.7 **
BL: *phot1* versus *phot1phot2* –34.3 *
BL: *phot1* versus *nph3* –46.7 *
BL: *nph3* versus *phot1phot2* 11.4 ns
BL: *pin2* versus *nph3* 26.4 *
BL: *pin2* versus *phot1* 19.3 *
BL: *pin2* versus *phot1phot2* 15.0 ns

Significance of differences between dark and BL condition

Wild type (Col-0): dark versus BL 58.9 **
Wild type (Ws): dark versus BL 53.2 **
*pin2*: dark versus BL 22.3 *
*nph3*: dark versus BL 18.8 ns
*phot1*: dark versus BL 19.0 ns
*phot1phot2*: dark versus BL 3.8 ns

Two-way ANOVAs were performed to compare significant differences between measured peak values of auxin flux rates. Light conditions and different mutant lines were the two sources of variation; both caused extremely significant differences (P < 0.001). The Bonferroni correction was then applied for comparisons among pairs. The results of comparisons are between the wild type and mutant lines under dark conditions, between the wild type and mutant lines under BL illumination, and between measurements in BL illumination and dark condition in each line. ns, no significance; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.001.

Hence, we measured the angles separating the root/hypocotyl tips from the vertical, rather than measuring apical curvature, to quantify the BL-modified root growth direction. As shown in Figure 1B, wild-type hypocotyls exhibited a typical positive phototropic response toward the BL source, with an average angle of 82.4° from the vertical. Roots of wild-type seedlings grew away from the light source, with an average angle from the vertical of 21.9°. In the *pin2* mutant, the lack of PIN2 protein apparently had only a small effect on hypocotyl phototropism, as inferred from an average angle of 75.3°. However, the *pin2* mutant showed strongly modified root phototropic responses; root growth direction showed a far wider distribution of angles from the vertical than the wild type, but the average angle –23.8° in *pin2* was similar to that in the wild type (Figure 1B). To further quantify the disturbed distribution rate of the *pin2* mutant, we analyzed the relative distribution of curvatures in the wild type and *pin2* as histograms (Figures 1C and 1D). Roots in the wild type and hypocotyls in both wild-type and *pin2* mutants showed a typical Gaussian distribution (adjusted $R^2 > 0.9$). However, the distribution of BL-induced separation angles in *pin2* roots differed significantly from a Gaussian distribution (adjusted $R^2 = 0.511$), suggesting a more random distribution of root growth and strongly altered root phototropism in the *pin2* mutant under unilateral weak BL illumination.

**BL-induced Auxin Flux in the Root Apex Transition Zone Is Dependent on PIN2, phot1, and NPH3**

To determine the possible mechanism for the altered phototropic responses of the *pin2, nph3, phot1*, and *phot1phot2* mutants, we further measured auxin flux profiles in vivo in the root apical region using a noninvasive microelectrode system (Mancuso et al., 2005, 2007; McLamore et al., 2010), which indicated that all lines have a net rhizosphere auxin influx in the root tip region (Figures 2A and 2B). The peak auxin flow occurred at 0.20 to 0.25 mm from the root apex in dark-grown wild-type (Col-0 and Wassilewskija [Ws] ecotypes) and all mutant lines (Figures 2A and 2B), coinciding with the expression peaks of the PIN2 (Figure 1B).
2C) and phot1 (Figure 2D) proteins. The pin2 and phot1 mutant lines have similar auxin influx peak positions to the wild type, while the peak values occurred at 0.25 mm from the apex in phot1 phot2 and nph3 mutants. In phot1 phot2 and nph3 mutants, the peak value was shifted from the position at 0.20 to 0.25 mm from the apex, when the measurements were applied under BL illumination.

We further conducted a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) based on the mean peak values (n = 12) of the root auxin flux rate to analyze the different BL sensitivities in wild-type and mutant lines (Table 1). The results showed that peak auxin flux values were maintained at a similar basal level in darkness in the wild type and phot1, phot1 phot2, and nph3 lines, whereas the pin2 mutants showed a statistically significant difference from wild-type roots in darkness. Furthermore, BL illumination induced a significant difference in the peak auxin flux rate at a position 0.20 mm from the apex, with a strong increase (>58.9 fmol·cm⁻²·s⁻¹) (Table 1). The peak value of auxin flux rates in seedlings of the pin2 mutant line was also sensitive to BL, but with a smaller increase in the auxin flux rate of 22.3 fmol·cm⁻²·s⁻¹ (Table 1). However, BL illumination induced no marked increases in auxin flux rates in the root apices of nph3, phot1, or phot1 phot2 mutant roots, indicating that phot1 and NPH3 are essential for BL signaling pathways.

To further confirm the effects of BL on auxin distribution in the roots, we analyzed expression of DR5pro:GFP, a highly active auxin reporter gene (Ulmasov et al., 1997). Unilateral BL illumination (2 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) on the DR5pro:GFP root tip resulted in an increase in GFP expression on the shaded side (Figures 2E and 2F). Increasing GFP expression was found up to around 0.3 mm from the root apex in the epidermal and vascular cells after 60 min of unilateral BL illumination (arrowheads in Figure 2F). This result qualitatively supports our discovery of BL-induced auxin influx rate with the noninvasive microelectrode system, which showed that the peak of the auxin influx rate in the root apex was sensitive to BL signals.
To study the involvement of endosomal membrane recycling in the *Arabidopsis* root apex and to investigate the role of polar auxin transport in phototropic responses, we analyzed the effects of different concentrations of brefeldin A (BFA; an inhibitor of protein secretion and endosomal recycling) (Nebenführ et al., 2002) on BL-induced root phototropism and the root elongation rate (Figure 3C). As shown in Figure 3B, treatment with 20 μM BFA for 5 h completely prevented root phototropic responses, while only a partial inhibition of root elongation was observed at concentrations below 40 μM. Concentrations of 40 and 50 μM BFA prevented root growth dramatically within 5 h of incubation (Figure 3C). However, deetiolation was not affected, since the cotyledons turned from bright yellow to green (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). To confirm the role of polar auxin transport in root phototropism, we further analyzed the effect of 1-N-naphthylphthalamic acid (NPA), an inhibitor of polar auxin transport (Nagashima et al., 2008), on BL-induced root phototropism. As expected, BL-induced root phototropism was inhibited when seedlings were grown on the half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing 0.5 μM NPA (Figure 3D).

**BL-Induced Cellular Relocalization of PIN2 Is Dependent on NPH3 and phot1**

As previously reported (Kleine-Vehn et al., 2008; Laxmi et al., 2008), in dark-grown roots, PIN2-GFP was largely reduced from the PM, showing less polar localization, and PIN2-GFP–positive vacuole-like compartments (VLCs) were scored in epidermal and cortical cells (Figure 4A). In light-grown roots, PIN2-GFP was polar localized at the PM and within small endosomal vesicles inside the cytosol (Figure 4B). These light- and dark-associated states of PIN2-GFP localization were reversible. Dark incubation caused the reappearance of PIN2-GFP within the VLCs (Figure 4C), and BL irradiation caused the disappearance of VLC-related PIN2-GFP (Figure 4D).

Because the altered BL-induced root gravitropism and phototropism in the pin2 mutant was complemented by PIN2-GFP protein expression (see Supplemental Figure 3 online; Shin et al., 2005), analyzing these different populations of cellular PIN2 and their roles in root phototropism is reasonable. In the *PIN2pro::PIN2-GFP*–transformed *pin2* mutant seedlings, the disappearance of PIN2-GFP from VLCs was relatively fast under BL irradiation of 2 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ (Figure 5A), which was sufficient to initiate a negative phototropic bending in root tips in 30 min (see Supplemental Figure 4 online). In the majority of root cells, PIN2-GFP disappeared from the VLCs after 20 min of irradiation in the *pin2* background (Figure 5A), and the existence of wild-type PIN2 (Col-0 background) did not affect the BL-stimulated behavior of PIN2-GFP (see Supplemental Figure 5 online). In seedlings of the *nph3-1, phot1 phot2*, and *phot1* mutant lines expressing PIN2-GFP, the PIN2-GFP was also localized within the VLCs and persisted within VLCs after a 30-min BL illumination (Figures 5B to 5D). However, overnight exposure to BL (12 h) resulted in the disappearance of PIN2-positive VLCs in all these lines (Figure 5), implying that the phot1/NPH3-initiated pathway mediates a rapid reaction for the disappearance of PIN2-GFP from VLCs, while prolonged light illumination may switch on other signaling pathways.

**phot1 and NPH3 Control BL-Mediated and BFA-Sensitive PIN2 Recycling**

Because PIN2 endosomal recycling is essential for polar auxin transport toward the root base (Bililou et al., 2005; Kleine-Vehn...
and Friml, 2008), the above results suggest that both the lack of PIN2 protein and inhibition of vesicular recycling by BFA-inhibited negative phototropism in Arabidopsis roots. Therefore, we further investigated the effects of BFA on PIN2 localization in root cells. Given that 30 μM BFA completely prevented BL-induced root phototropism and only partially reduced the root growth rate with 5 h of treatment (Figure 3), we applied 30 μM BFA in this study. As shown in Figure 6, treating etiolated PIN2-GFP–transformed pin2 mutant seedlings with BFA under dark (Figure 6A) and light (Figure 6B) conditions for 60 min caused relocalization of PIN2-GFP into BFA compartments. When dark-grown roots were exposed to BFA in the dark, PIN2-GFP still remained within the VLCs (white arrows in Figure 6A) and became partially localized within the BFA-induced FM4-64–positive compartments (yellow arrows in Figure 6A). By contrast, BFA treatment conducted under BL resulted in more abundant and larger PIN2-GFP–positive BFA compartments with FM4-64 colocalization (yellow arrows in Figure 6B), while PIN2-GFP disappeared from the VLCs (Figure 6B). The structures of VLCs and BFA-induced compartments are clearly distinguishable in these images. To avoid a potential effect of FM4-64, the marker of PM and endosomes, on membrane trafficking (Jelinková et al., 2010), we repeated this experiment without FM4-64 labeling in different PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP–transformed mutant lines. As shown in Figures 6C and 6D, the BFA-induced compartments can be detected in all lines observed, with or without BL illumination. Importantly, the BFA treatment in the nph3-1 mutant background resulted in the disappearance of PIN2-GFP from VLCs under both dark and light conditions. By contrast, PIN2-GFP was retained within VLCs in phot1 and phot1 phot2 mutants under both dark and light conditions. Furthermore, BFA induced enlarged endosomal compartments in all mutant backgrounds examined (Figures 6C and 6D), and the presence of wild-type PIN2 (Col-0 background) did not change the behavior of PIN2-GFP under BFA treatments (see Supplemental Figure 5 online).

Figure 5. Disappearance of PIN2-GFP from the VLCs Is Dependent on phot1/NPH3.

Arabidopsis seedlings with PIN2-GFP expression on different mutant backgrounds were illuminated with 2 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ BL for 0, 10, 20, or 30 min or placed under BL overnight (12 h) (columns from left to right). White arrows indicate VLCs. Images were selected from three independent repeated experiments and at least three seedlings each time. Bars = 20 μm.

(A) BL-induced PIN2-GFP relocalization in a pin2 background.
(B) BL-induced PIN2-GFP relocalization in an nph3 background.
(C) BL-induced PIN2-GFP relocalization in a phot1 phot2 background.
(D) BL-induced PIN2-GFP relocalization in a phot1 background.

[See online article for color version of this figure.]
Gravitropic Bending of Roots in the phot1, phot1 phot2, and nph3 Mutant Lines

Because the PIN2 vacuole localization may play roles in gravitropic bending responses (Kleine-Vehn et al., 2008), we investigated whether the absence of phot1, phot2, both phot1 and phot2, or NPH3 affected the gravitropic responses of roots under BL illumination. Figure 7 shows the curvature responses in dark-grown seedlings under a 90° gravity stimulation vector for 10 h following three kinds of light treatment: top-oriented strong white light (10,000 lux) during the gravitropic stimulation to mimic a normal environment, complete darkness during gravity stimulation, and a neutral BL illumination field created by identical and symmetrical weak BL from the front and back of Petri dishes to observe the gravitropic bending response by BL illumination without phototropic signals (Figure 7). Statistical analysis showed no significant difference in the mean values of curvature among the roots of wild-type (Col-0 and Ws), phot1, phot1 phot2,

---

Figure 6. BFA-Sensitive Recycling of PIN2 Is Dependent on BL Illumination.

(A) to (D) Images were selected from three independent repeated experiments and at least three seedlings at each time. Yellow arrows point to BFA-induced compartments; white arrows point to VLCs. Bars = 20 μm.

(A) Four-day-old dark-grown PIN2-GFP transformed seedlings treated with 30 μM BFA and 5 μM FM4-64 under dark conditions for 60 min. Red signals are from FM4-64. PIN2-GFP remained in VLCs without colocalization with the FM4-64 (white arrows); these compartments are smaller, with higher fluorescence densities, than the VLC compartments (yellow arrows), which are not colocalized with FM4-64 (red fluorescence).

(B) Four-day-old dark-grown PIN2-GFP transformed seedlings treated with 30 μM BFA and 5 μM FM4-64 under 2 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ BL illumination for 60 min. BL caused the disappearance of PIN2-GFP–positive VLCs and colocalization of both fluorescence signals inside the same BFA compartment.

(C) Images represent Arabidopsis seedlings treated with 30 μM BFA for 60 min showing PIN2-GFP expression in different mutant backgrounds under dark conditions. Left to right: pin2, nph3, phot1 phot2, and phot1.

(D) Images represent Arabidopsis seedlings treated with 30 μM BFA for 60 min showing PIN2-GFP expression in different mutant backgrounds under 2 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ BL illumination. Left to right: pin2, nph3, phot1 phot2, and phot1.
and nph3 mutant lines (Table 2) when compared with the gravity-stimulated bending response in darkness. Using a top-oriented light source during the gravity stimulation, the curvature response in wild-type roots was significantly greater than that in phot1, phot1 phot2, and nph3 roots. Compared with the phototropic responses under dark conditions, symmetrical lateral BL illumination gave rise to a dramatic decrease in the bending curvatures in roots of wild-type, phot1, phot1 phot2, and nph3 seedlings, among which the nph3 line showed a minimal effect.

DISCUSSION

Although a gravitropic role for PIN2 in roots was reported over a decade ago (Chen et al., 1998; Luschnig et al., 1998), its role in root phototropic responses has remained unclear. We found that in the absence of PIN2 expression, roots showed a strongly altered ability to grow and bend away from the source of BL illumination, whereas hypocotyl phototropism was barely affected (Figure 1; see Supplemental Figure 1 online). This different behavior was not unexpected, as PIN2 is not expressed in Arabidopsis hypocotyls (Chen et al., 1998). Moreover, in vivo measurements of auxin flow in the root tips showed that the root apex transition zone (0.1 to 0.3 mm from the root tip in Arabidopsis; Verbelen et al., 2006; Baluška et al., 2010) is the most active region with respect to polar auxin flow (Mancuso et al., 2005; Baluška et al., 2010; McLamore et al., 2010; McLamore and Porterfield, 2011) and gravity-stimulated peak cell elongation rates (Chavarria-Krauser et al., 2008). A BL illumination significantly increased the peak value of the auxin flux rate in the root apex transition zone of both dark-grown wild-type and pin2 seedlings, among which the response of the pin2 mutant was less significant than that of the wild type. This result implied that some other auxin transporters might be involved in BL-induced auxin transport besides PIN2. The ABC subfamily B auxin transporter could be a potential candidate because the pgp19 mutant has a significantly increased root phototropic bending response after 13 h of unilateral BL illumination (see Supplemental Figure 6 online), coincident with early reports on hypocotyl phototropism (Noh et al., 2003; Christie et al., 2011).

Considering that the unilateral BL illumination increased asymmetric GFP expression in DR5pro:GFP transformed wild-type lines in the root apex transition zone, we conclude that unilateral BL stimulates asymmetric auxin distribution between the shaded and illuminated side by asymmetrically increasing the upward (shootward) polar auxin transport. This conclusion is further supported by the experimental results that NPA, an effective inhibitor of the polar auxin transport, prevented BL-induced negative root phototropism in Arabidopsis.

The BL-induced root negative phototropism in Arabidopsis is mediated by the BL photoreceptor phot1 and the signal transducer NPH3 (see Supplemental Figure 7 online). Previous studies also quantified the BL-induced root phototropic bending curvatures in phot1, phot2, and nph3 mutants, indicating that phot2 had a strongly reduced phototropic bending, while phot1 and nph3 had almost no bending response (Liscum and Briggs, 1995, 1996; Motchoulski and Liscum, 1999). In agreement with these earlier experiments, we found that the unilateral BL-induced root growth angles separated from the vertical in phot1 and phot1 phot2 mutants were similar to each other. Also, a significant difference was observed in the mean values of the auxin flux rate under BL illumination between phot1 and phot1 phot2, while the

Figure 7. Root Gravitropic Curvature of the Wild Type and nph3, phot1, and phot1 phot2 Mutant Lines under Different Light Conditions. The 4-d-old dark-grown seedlings were turned to a horizontal position (90°), and the root curvature angles were measured and analyzed after 10 h (means ± SE, n = 24). Columns on the left indicate gravitropic curvature with strong white light illumination from above in a culture chamber (10,000 lux); middle columns are gravitropic curvatures in complete darkness; columns on the right indicate gravitropic curvatures in a neutral BL illumination field, created by identical and symmetrical weak BL (2 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) from the front and back side of Petri dishes (model figures indicate the illumination method).
peak value in phot1 phot2 was similar to that of the nph3 line. This result indicated that the scaffold protein NPH3 modifies auxin transport in Arabidopsis roots by transducing the BL signal from phot1 and phot2 BL receptors. Pedmale and Liscum (2007) reported that NPH3 is in a phosphorylated state under dark conditions and that BL illumination causes NPH3 dephosphorylation via a phot1-dependent mechanism. Note that exposure to auxin stimulated NPH3 in the phosphorylated state, but the phosphorylation state of phot1 was not affected by auxin treatment (Chen et al., 2010). Obviously, NPH3 has a direct role in the auxin feedback response, whereas phot1 is not relevant in this respect.

The phenomenon that GFP-tagged proteins accumulate in VLCs in darkness and then disappear following BL illumination is not a novel finding (Tamura et al., 2003). An earlier report suggested that PIN2 proteolysis is involved in the polar auxin transport and gravitropic bending responses in Arabidopsis roots (Abas et al., 2006). Kleine-Vehn et al. (2008) further reported an asymmetric PIN2 distribution for VLCs between the upper and lower sides of gravistimulated roots, implying a close relationship between vacuole-targeted trafficking of PIN2 and gravitropic bending responses. Laxmi et al. (2008) observed that different PIN2-GFP localized in various mutant backgrounds between dark- and light-grown seedlings; however, the short-term reaction of PIN2-GFP under BL illumination was not documented. In agreement with an earlier study reporting that BL-induced phototropism is a rapid response (Steinitz and Poff, 1986), we found that a short BL illumination can stimulate a negative phototropic

Table 2. Two-Way ANOVA for the Gravitropic Curvatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison Test</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Significant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Significance of differences between lines in top illumination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOP: wild type (Col-0) versus wild type (Ws)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOP: wild type (Ws) versus nph3</td>
<td>–21.9</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOP: wild type (Col-0) versus phot1</td>
<td>–29.1</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOP: wild type (Col-0) versus phot1 phot2</td>
<td>–19.6</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOP: phot1 versus phot1 phot2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOP: phot1 versus nph3</td>
<td>–9.8</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOP: nph3 versus phot1 phot2</td>
<td>–0.3</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance of differences between lines in dark condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark: wild type (Col-0) versus wild-type (Ws)</td>
<td>–4.6</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark: wild type (Ws) versus nph3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark: wild type (Col-0) versus phot1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark: wild type (Col-0) versus phot1phot2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark: phot1 versus phot1phot2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark: phot1 versus nph3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark: nph3 versus phot1 phot2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance of differences between lines in symmetric BL illumination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL: wild type (Col-0) versus wild type (Ws)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL: wild type (Ws) versus nph3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL: wild type (Col-0) versus phot1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL: wild type (Col-0) versus phot1phot2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL: phot1 versus phot1phot2</td>
<td>–1.5</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL: phot1 versus nph3</td>
<td>–17.0</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL: nph3 versus phot1 phot2</td>
<td>–18.5</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance of differences between different light conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type (Col-0): TOP versus dark</td>
<td>–13.6</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type (Col-0): TOP versus BL</td>
<td>–49.1</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type (Col-0): BL versus dark</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nph3: TOP versus dark</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nph3: BL versus dark</td>
<td>–7.3</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nph3: BL versus dark</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phot1: TOP versus dark</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phot1: TOP versus BL</td>
<td>–14.5</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phot1: BL versus dark</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phot1 phot2: TOP versus dark</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phot1 phot2: TOP versus BL</td>
<td>–25.5</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phot1 phot2: BL versus dark</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two-way ANOVAs were performed to compare significant differences between mean values of gravitropic bending curvatures of the wild-type and mutant lines under different illumination. The illumination methods and mutant lines were set as the two sources of variation, and both caused extremely significant differences (P < 0.001). The Bonferroni correction was then applied for comparisons among pairs. The results of comparisons are between the wild type and mutant lines under top-oriented illumination (TOP), between the wild type and mutant lines under dark conditions (dark), between the wild type and mutant lines under asymmetric BL illumination, and between measurements under different illumination methods in each line. ns, no significance; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.001.
bending response in roots (see Supplemental Figure 4 online) and cause complete disappearance of PIN2-GFP from VLCs in the wild-type and pin2 root cells. Also, a lack of phot1/NPH3 expression prevented the disappearance of PIN2-GFP from VLCs after short BL illumination (30 min). However, prolonged BL illumination (12 h) caused the disappearance of VLC-localized PIN2-GFP in phot1, phot1 phot2, and nph3 mutants. Therefore, we conclude that the phot1/NPH3-mediated PIN2 disappearance from VLCs in root cells is a rapid response to modify BL-induced negative phototropism, while other long-term responses affect PIN2 localization through phot1/NPH3-independent pathways. With regard to the possible mechanism underlying the VLC-localized GFPs, Kleine-Vehn et al. (2008) proposed a model showing that the retromer-mediated pathway plays essential roles in PIN2 trafficking between VLCs and endosomes through a BFA-sensitive, GNOM-dependent trafficking pathway. In addition, BFA treatment caused accumulation of both PIN2-GFP and phot1-GFP within enlarged endosomal compartments (Kleine-Vehn et al., 2008; Kaiserli et al., 2009; Sullivan et al., 2010), suggesting that both PIN2 and phot1 recycle in a GNOM-dependent manner. We found that PIN2-GFP exhibited different BFA-induced relocalization behaviors between the nph3 and phot1 mutant lines, implying that phot1 and NPH3 play different roles in guiding the endosomal recycling and subcellular targeting of auxin transport (PIN2). Based on these results, we hypothesize that NPH3 acts as a switch to determine trafficking in the retromer-mediated pathway between PVCs/MVBs and EEs/TGNs. Our update of the model proposed by Kleine-Vehn et al. (2008) is summarized in Figure 8. In the nph3 mutant, in which the NPH3-based retromer pathway is absent, BFA treatment inhibited the ADP-ribosylation factor–guanine-nucleotide exchange factor–mediated trafficking pathway, which stopped the VLC-targeted trafficking and caused PIN2-GFP to disappear from VLCs, even under the dark BFA treatment. In root cells expressing NPH3, but not phot1, the NPH3 remained in the dark state and PIN2 continued to move into VLCs. As a result, dark BFA conditions do not cause the disappearance of PIN2-GFP in phot1 mutants. A similar relationship between PINs and phot1/NPH3 was indeed reported for PID/NPY1 and phot1/NPH3, which may act in a similar way to control the polar distribution and cellular behavior of PIN-formed proteins (Cheng et al., 2007; Furutani et al., 2007, 2011).

Because phot1 and NPH3 play roles in controlling the auxin flux rate in the root apical transition zone, we were curious to understand the roles of phot1 and NPH3 in the integration of gravitropic and phototropic responses in the root tip region. In Arabidopsis hypocotyls, the photoreceptor phytochrome A mediates BL-induced inhibition of gravitropism (Lariguet and Fankhauser, 2004). Galen et al. (2004, 2007) demonstrated that phot1 is important for root penetration into the soil, which increased the drought tolerance of Arabidopsis seedlings. Our results show that all lines have similar gravitropic bending
curvatures in darkness. Not unexpectedly, top-orientated light increased a downward-bending curvature in the wild type, which was more significant than that in the mutants. Symmetric lateral BL illumination significantly inhibited gravitropism in all lines. Moreover, gravitropic bending curvatures in nph3 are significantly larger than in the wild-type, phot1, and phot1 phot2 lines, indicating BL illumination inhibits the root gravitropic responses via a phot1-independent pathway in which NPH3 may have a role. For example, auxin treatment can influence the phosphorylation state of NPH3 (Chen et al., 2010), implying NPH3 may be involved in an auxin feedback mechanism to cause a bending response under different environmental stimuli. Furthermore, Matsuda et al. (2011) found that NPH3-like and PGP-like genes have similar expression patterns in the BL perception organ of maize (Zea mays) coleoptiles, implying a potential cross-link between different signaling pathways via NPH3/NPY1 scaffold proteins. Although we are uncertain about which photoreceptor (s) is/are involved in this process, no doubt exists that NPH3 not only mediates BL-induced negative root phototropism, but also plays important roles in interactions between gravitropic and phototropic signaling pathways, perhaps by controlling proteasome activities and determining the subcellular destiny of PIN2 in cells of the root apex transition zone.

**METHODS**

**Plant Material**

Seeds of Arabidopsis thaliana were sterilized in 3% NaClO solution with 0.01% Triton X-100 for 5 min, then washed with sterile water five times. The surface-sterilized seeds were planted on agar plates containing half-strength MS culture medium (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% Suc (w/v). Plates were cold-treated at 4°C for 24 h before placing in the cultivation chamber at 22°C and 16 h white light illumination (10,000 lux) per day provided by fluorescence lamps for light-grown seedlings. Etiolated seedlings were obtained from Winslow Briggs (Carnegie Institution for Science, Baltimore, MD), as indicated above (see Supplemental Figure 3 online). To examine whether the existence of wild-type PIN2 proteins in these lines affected the cellular behavior of PIN2-GFP, we also crossed the PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP transformed line (#) onto the wild type (Col-0, $) to obtain heterozygous plants. The F1 plants were used as a control (see Supplemental Figure 5 online).

**Phototropic Growth Analysis**

Seeds were sterilized, planted as described above, and put on the same plates in two parallel lines with one line of Col-0 seeds. An LED was used to create parallel side illumination at 2 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Therefore, the seedlings were side-illuminated from the beginning of their germination, and the lean angles of both roots were recorded by a digital camera after 4 d (96 h). Separation angles between the position of the root or shoot tips and the vertical position instead of root tip bending angles were manually measured by the software ImageJ (National Institutes of Health). The relative distribution rate is shown as columns in Figures 1G and 1H. Gaussian fitting curves were calculated out with software Origin 8.0 with the single peak fitting options (with equation: $y = y_0 + (A/(w * \sqrt{\pi / 2})) * \exp((-2 * ((x - xc)/w)^2))$).

**Phototropic and Gravitropic Bending Analysis**

For measuring phototropic bending curvatures, 3-d-old dark-grown seedlings were unilaterally illuminated with 2 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ BL from a light-emitting diode (LED) in the germination plates. To analyze BFA and NPA effects on phototropism, BFA and NPA were diluted into the culture medium at appropriate concentrations. Images were recorded with a digital camera at specific time points. For analysis of gravitropism, 3-d-old etiolated seedlings were turned 90°. Images were recorded with a digital camera after 10 h, and the mean values and standard errors of the separation or bending angles were calculated.

**Measurement of Auxin Flow in the Root Apex Region**

The measurement of rhizosphere auxin flux in this noninvasive microelectrode system was based on the application of Fick’s law ($J = -D(A/\Delta x)$) ($J$, flux rate of analytes; $A$, concentration differences; $\Delta x$, distance between two positions; $D$, diffusion coefficient, $D_{auxin} = 0.677 \times 10^{-5}$ cm² s⁻¹ at 25°C (Mancuso et al., 2005; McLamore et al., 2010)). Measurement of the rhizosphere auxin flux was activity of cell-to-cell auxin transport, which occurs in the apoplast, provides a quantitative analysis of polar auxin transport (McLamore et al., 2010; McLamore and Porterfield, 2011). A carbon nanotube auxin-selective microelectrode was used to monitor auxin fluxes in Arabidopsis roots as described previously (Mancuso et al., 2005; Santelia et al., 2005; Bouchard et al., 2006; Bailly et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010). For measurements, plants were grown in hydroponic culture and used at 4 d after germination. Microelectrodes were set near the root surface at different distances from the root apex, vibrating typically between 2 and 12 μm from the surface ($\Delta x = 10 \mu m$). Then, the auxin flux rate was recorded in the absence or presence of BL. The latter condition was obtained with a blue LED (peak wavelength 473 nm); the seedlings were only exposed to BL during measurement. No preillumination was performed in this study.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical differences of data in Figures 2 and 7 were analyzed with two-way ANOVA (Tables 1 and 2). The paired Student’s t test was applied to test significance between measured groups with only one variance (Figure 3; see Supplemental Figures 1, 2, and 6 online). Two-tailed $P$ values were recorded. Statistical significances were clarified as no significance: *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.001$.

**Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy and Image Processing**

The images were obtained with a Leica TCS SP5 laser scanning confocal microscope system. A ×10 air objective (numerical aperture [NA] = 0.4), ×40 air objective (NA = 0.75), and a ×63 water immersion objective (NA = 1.2) were used. An argon laser provided BL (488 nm). Emission fluorescence was
obtained for GFP signals (500 to 530 nm) and FM4-64 (600 to 700 nm) with band-pass filters. To create a pseudo-color image that visualizes the signal intensities of GFP (Figures 2E and 2F), the original images were obtained from the same confocal microscope with same laser and camera settings and then the images were transferred to index color format using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health) with the Rainbow-LUT spectral setting. All parameters were kept constant for image processing.

Chemical and BL Treatment

BFA (Sigma-Aldrich; 5 mg/mL) and NPA (Sigma-Aldrich; 5 mg/mL) were stored as DMSO solutions in a –20°C freezer, and FM4-64 [N-(3-triethylammoniumpropyl)-4-(6-(4-(diethylamino)phenyl)hexatrienyl)pyridinium dibromide; purchased from Sigma-Aldrich as Synaptopore; 1 mg/mL] was stored as a water solution at 4°C in the refrigerator and kept in dark condition. Half-strength MS basal salts and 1% Suc in water were used as solvents for inhibitor working solutions: BFA and NPA with appropriate concentrations and 5 μM FM4-64. The seedlings were treated with various working solution in Eppendorf tubes in darkness within individual period described in figure legends. All operations were done under a weak green light provided by LEDs in a dark room. If experiments required continuous BL illumination, seedlings were transferred onto a glass slide for exposure in a drop of the inhibitor solution. A different and adjustable BL source for continuous BL illumination was provided by a LED light source with a peak wavelength at 473 nm. The BL was measured with a Sanwa power meter (model Sanwa LP1). All the experiments were conducted in a dark room equipped with a weak, green LED light.
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